6. APPEALS UPDATE

6.1 APPEALS LODGED

Appeals received by Dacorum Borough Council between 01 January 2024 and 22 January 2024.

No.	DBC Ref.	PINS Ref.	Address	Procedure
1		D/24/3336853	15 Home Farm, Park	Householder
	23/01222/ROC	D/24/3330033	Road, Tring	
2		W/24/3337121	Shootersway,	Written
	23/02475/ROC	VV/Z4/33371Z1	Berkhamsted	Representations
3		W/24/3337305	112 New Park Drive,	Written
	23/01217/FUL	VV/Z4/3337305	Hemel Hempstead	Representations

6.2 PLANNING APPEALS DISMISSED

Planning appeals dismissed between 01 January 2024 and 22 January 2024.

	No.	DBC Ref.	PINS Ref.	Address	Procedure	
Date of Decision: 05/01/2024 Link to full decision:	1	22/03691/FHA	D/23/3319249	5 The Shrubbery,	Householder	
Link to full decision: https://acp.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/ViewCase.aspx?caseid=3319249 Inspector's Key conclusions: The development proposed is first floor link extension, infill front extensior and front and rear first floor dormers. The appeal property appears to be the only property within this part of the estate, including along The Shrubbery and The Glades that has dormer windows visible within the street scene. Therefore, dormers are not typica features of the immediate surrounding area. Due to the large scale, the flat roof design and that the dormers are not set in from the side elevations and eaves of the roof, they would appear as dominant and bulky additions to the roof of the projecting wing. Also, the flat roof design and character of the existing dwelling, nor that of surrounding dwellings. Furthermore, due to the location of the host dwelling, combined with the scale, design and position of the proposed dormers, the dormers would appear as overly dominant and incongruous features within the street scene, including when viewed from the private driveway that wraps around to be scene, including when viewed from the private driveway that wraps around to be scene.				Hemel Hempstead		
https://acp.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/ViewCase.aspx?caseid=3319249 Inspector's Key conclusions: The development proposed is first floor link extension, infill front extension and front and rear first floor dormers. The appeal property appears to be the only property within this part of the estate, including along The Shrubbery and The Glades that has dormer windows visible within the street scene. Therefore, dormers are not typica features of the immediate surrounding area. Due to the large scale, the flat roof design and that the dormers are not set in from the side elevations and eaves of the roof, they would appear as dominant and bulky additions to the roof of the projecting wing. Also, the flat roof design and character of the existing dwelling, nor that of surrounding dwellings. Furthermore, due to the location of the host dwelling, combined with the scale, design and position of the proposed dormers, the dormers would appear as overly dominant and incongruous features within the street scene, including when viewed from the private driveway that wraps around to the scene, including when viewed from the private driveway that wraps around to the scene, including when viewed from the private driveway that wraps around to the scene, including when viewed from the private driveway that wraps around to the scene.		Date of Decision		05/01/2024		
Inspector's Key conclusions: The development proposed is first floor link extension, infill front extension and front and rear first floor dormers. The appeal property appears to be the only property within this part of the estate, including along The Shrubbery and The Glades that has dormer windows visible within the street scene. Therefore, dormers are not typica features of the immediate surrounding area. Due to the large scale, the flat roof design and that the dormers are not set in from the side elevations and eaves of the roof, they would appear as dominant and bulky additions to the roof of the projecting wing. Also, the flat roof design of the proposed dormers would not be in keeping with the pitched roof design and character of the existing dwelling, nor that of surrounding dwellings. Furthermore, due to the location of the host dwelling, combined with the scale, design and position of the proposed dormers, the dormers would appear as overly dominant and incongruous features within the street scene, including when viewed from the private driveway that wraps around to the scene, including when viewed from the private driveway that wraps around to the scene.		Link to full decis	ion:			
 The development proposed is first floor link extension, infill front extension and front and rear first floor dormers. The appeal property appears to be the only property within this part of the estate, including along The Shrubbery and The Glades that has dormer windows visible within the street scene. Therefore, dormers are not typica features of the immediate surrounding area. Due to the large scale, the flat roof design and that the dormers are not set in from the side elevations and eaves of the roof, they would appear as dominant and bulky additions to the roof of the projecting wing. Also, the flat roof design and character of the existing dwelling, nor that of surrounding dwellings. Furthermore, due to the location of the host dwelling, combined with the scale, design and position of the proposed dormers, the dormers would appear as overly dominant and incongruous features within the street scene, including when viewed from the private driveway that wraps around to be proposed dormers. 		https://acp.plannir	nginspectorate.go	ov.uk/ViewCase.aspx?ca	seid=3319249	
 and front and rear first floor dormers. The appeal property appears to be the only property within this part of the estate, including along The Shrubbery and The Glades that has dormer windows visible within the street scene. Therefore, dormers are not typical features of the immediate surrounding area. Due to the large scale, the flat roof design and that the dormers are not set in from the side elevations and eaves of the roof, they would appear as dominant and bulky additions to the roof of the projecting wing. Also, the flat roof design of the proposed dormers would not be in keeping with the pitched roof design and character of the existing dwelling, nor that of surrounding dwellings. Furthermore, due to the location of the host dwelling, combined with the scale, design and position of the proposed dormers, the dormers would appear as overly dominant and incongruous features within the street scene, including when viewed from the private driveway that wraps around to be appear as an other street scene, including when viewed from the private driveway that wraps around to be scene. 		Inspector's Key	conclusions:			
 windows visible within the street scene. Therefore, dormers are not typical features of the immediate surrounding area. Due to the large scale, the flat roof design and that the dormers are not set in from the side elevations and eaves of the roof, they would appear as dominant and bulky additions to the roof of the projecting wing. Also, the flat roof design of the proposed dormers would not be in keeping with the pitched roof design and character of the existing dwelling, nor that of surrounding dwellings. Furthermore, due to the location of the host dwelling, combined with the scale, design and position of the proposed dormers within the street scene, including when viewed from the private driveway that wraps around to be surrounding the proposed form the proposed dormers. 		and front and rear The appeal prope	first floor dorme erty appears to b	rs. be the only property with	in this part of the	
from the side elevations and eaves of the roof, they would appear as dominant and bulky additions to the roof of the projecting wing. Also, the flat roof design of the proposed dormers would not be in keeping with the pitched roof design and character of the existing dwelling, nor that of surrounding dwellings. Furthermore, due to the location of the host dwelling, combined with the scale, design and position of the proposed dormers, the dormers would appear as overly dominant and incongruous features within the street scene, including when viewed from the private driveway that wraps around to		estate, including along The Shrubbery and The Glades that has dormer windows visible within the street scene. Therefore, dormers are not typical features of the immediate surrounding area.				
The proposed rear dormer would over-dominate the rear roof slope, leaving						

little of the sloping, tiled area of the roof of the wing visible, which would thereby appear at odds with the design of the host dwelling. In view of the above, the proposed dormers would be harmful to the character and appearance of the host dwelling and the surrounding area.

The proposed dormer would extend the wall of the wing up to a higher, twostorey level and create a much taller vertical wall facing directly opposite the front of No 7 The Shrubbery. Therefore, due to its design, scale, and close proximity to this neighbouring property, it would form a visually prominent and overbearing feature, in terms of the outlook from No 7.

Despite the negative impact in terms of outlook, there would be no undue overlooking or loss of light caused to the occupiers of neighbouring dwellings. This is because the proposed openings to the rear would be obscure glazed and, that there would be sufficient distance separation between the proposed openings and neighbouring properties, so as not to cause harm to the living conditions of neighbours in relation to such matters.

In view of the above, the proposed rear dormer would be harmful to the living conditions of neighbouring occupiers.

6.3 PLANNING APPEALS ALLOWED

Planning appeals allowed between 01 January 2024 and 22 January 2024.

None.

6.4 PLANNING APPEALS WITHDRAWN

Planning appeals withdrawn between 01 January 2024 and 22 January 2024.

No.	DBC Ref.	PINS Ref.	Address	Procedure	
1	23/00902/FUL	W/23/3333636	Land Adjacent Row	Written	
			Beech Cottages,	Representations	
			Watling Street,		
			Kensworth		
	Date of Decision	:	17/01/2024		
	Link to full decis	ion:			
	n/a				
	Inspector's Key conclusions:				
	The appeal was turned away by the Planning Inspectorate as the appeal was submitted too late.				

6.5 ENFORCEMENT NOTICE APPEALS LODGED

Enforcement Notice appeals lodged between 01 January 2024 and 22 January 2024. **None.**

6.6 ENFORCEMENT NOTICE APPEALS DISMISSED

Enforcement Notice appeals dismissed between 01 January 2024 and 22 January 2024.

None.

6.7 ENFORCEMENT NOTICE APPEALS ALLOWED

Enforcement Notice appeals allowed between 01 January 2024 and 22 January 2024.

None.

6.8 ENFORCEMENT NOTICE APPEALS WITHDRAWN

Enforcement Notice appeals withdrawn between 01 January 2024 and 22 January 2024.

None.

6.9 <u>SUMMARY OF TOTAL APPEAL DECISIONS IN 2024</u> (up to 22 January 2024).

APPEALS LODGED IN 2024	
PLANNING APPEALS LODGED	3
ENFORCEMENT APPEALS LODGED	0
TOTAL APPEALS LODGED	3

APPEALS DECIDED IN 2024 (excl. invalid appeals)	TOTAL	%
TOTAL	1	100
APPEALS DISMISSED	1	100
APPEALS ALLOWED	0	0
APPEALS PART ALLOWED / PART DISMISSED	0	0
APPEALS WITHDRAWN	0	0

	TOTAL	%
APPEALS DISMISSED IN 2024		
Total	1	100
Non-determination	0	0
Delegated	1	100
DMC decision with Officer recommendation	0	0
DMC decision contrary to Officer recommendation	0	0

APPEALS ALLOWED IN 2024	TOTAL	%
Total	0	0
Non-determination	0	0
Delegated	0	0
DMC decision with Officer recommendation	0	0
DMC decision contrary to Officer recommendation	0	0

6.10 UPCOMING HEARINGS

None.

6.11 UPCOMING INQUIRIES

No.	DBC Ref.	PINS Ref.	Address	Date
1	E/21/00041/NPP	C/22/3290614	The Old Oak,	tbc
			Hogpits Bottom	
			Flaunden	
2	22/01836/MFA	W/23/3333545	Rectory farm, Kings	09-12/04/2024
			Langley	

6.12 COSTS APPLICATIONS GRANTED

Applications for Costs granted between 01 January 2024 and 22 January 2024.

None.

6.13 COSTS APPLICATIONS REFUSED

Applications for Costs refused between 01 January 2024 and 22 January 2024.

None.